

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/690,419		10/20/2003	Andrew J. Baskerville	147.04	3836
33321	7590	05/10/2005		EXAMINER	
MAGUIRE 423 E ST.	LAWO	FFICE	LOBO, IAN J		
DAVIS, CA	95616			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•				3662	
				DATE MAILED: 05/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/690,419	BASKERVILLE E	T AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		lan J. Lobo	3662					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sho	eet with the correspondence ac	idress				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili- ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, ply within the statutory minimum I will apply and will expire StX (i te. cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered time b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18.	A <i>pril 2005</i> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1939	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 5-17 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)🖂	Claim(s) 5-10 and 12-14 is/are allowed.			·				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 11 and 15-17 is/are rejected.							
· —	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requiremer	nt.					
Applicati	on Papers		•					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objecte	ed to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the atta	ached Office Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.Š.C. § 119		•					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received nts have been received prity documents have au (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this National	Stage				
Attachmen	((s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Inter	view Summary (PTO-413)					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date	0.450)				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date	6) Othe	ce of Informal Patent Application (PToer:	J-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/690,419 Page 2

Art Unit: 3662

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 18, 2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 11, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fessenden.
- 4. Claims 11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al.

Lee et al discloses a system for dampening underwater energy from an energy source. Note that Fig. 3A shows bubble producing elements 111 positioned in a vertically oriented setup.

Art Unit: 3662

Fessenden discloses a system for dampening underwater energy from an energy source (16). The system includes bubble producing elements 35 positioned in a vertically oriented manner.

The difference between claims 11 and 15 and the systems of Lee et al and Fessenden is the claims now specify "substantially surrounding all sides of" or "substantially surrounding" the stationary project area or project, respectively. Lee et al and Fessenden do not disclose surrounding all sides of the project or project area with the bubble producing elements.

However, it is arguable that the circumstances surrounding the Lee et al and Fessenden systems include bubble producing elements in specific locations for specific purposes. This does not mean that the bubble producing elements could not be utilized in other more inclusive areas. In fact, one of ordinary skill in the art would clearly find it obvious to surround the project or area where dampening of underwater energy is required if the specific circumstances would dictate. Specifically, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the bubble producing elements 35 of Fessenden around the entire area of the ship or area of noise source especially in light of the teaching on col. 1, lines 30-45. Similarly, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the bubble producing elements of Lee et al around the energy source if the energy source interference is to be dissipated in all directions. Claims 11 and 15 are so rejected.

Application/Control Number: 10/690,419 Page 4

Art Unit: 3662

With respect to claim 16, see feed pipe 34 of Fessenden that reads on the claimed "spine" and is located "substantially perpendicular" with respect to the waterline. Specifically, the top part of the spine is "substantially perpendicular" with the waterline.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Arnoldi ('466).

Note on Fig. 1 of Arnoldi, a spine (10) is shown having first and second bubble producing units (18). The spine is placed in a bed of a waterway. The structure claimed in claim 17 reads upon the structure of Arnoldi since a bed of a waterway is not perfectly horizontal, and thus the spine placed upon a non-perfectly horizontal bed would inherently include bubble producing units "vertically spaced or oriented from each other especially along a vertical contoured bed.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 5-10 and 12-14 are allowed.

Art Unit: 3662

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ian J. Lobo whose telephone number is (571) 272-6974. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 6:30 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas H. Tarcza can be reached on (571) 272-6979. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lan J. Lobo Primary Examiner Art Unit 3662